UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/689,797 | 10/21/2003 | Jorge L. Orbay | HAN-025 | 3795 | |
| ••• | 36822 7590 01/19/2007 GORDON & JACOBSON, P.C. | | EXAM | IINER | |
| 60 LONG RIDGE ROAD SUITE 407 STAMFORD, CT 06902 | | · | RAMANA, ANURADHA | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3733 | | |
| | · | | · | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MOI | NTHS | 01/19/2007 | PAF | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/689,797 | ORBAY, JORGE | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Anu Ramana | 3733 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/31/06. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | | | | | |
| • = | ice this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 19-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-21, 24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22,23 and 26-31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | · | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04;5/20/04;11/8/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/689,797

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the invention of Group II (claims 19-23 and new claims 24-31) in the reply filed on October 31, 2006 is acknowledged.

Accordingly, this action addresses pending claims 19-31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Medoff et al. (US 7,044,951) in view of Orlich (US 3,561,437).

Medoff et al. disclose a method of fixing a fracture including the steps of: drilling a K-wire through a portion of bone; reducing the fracture; positioning a bone plate over the K-wire; and securing a second portion of the plate on a side opposite the osteotomy (col. 3, lines 38-67 and col. 4, lines 1-37).

Medoff et al. disclose all steps of the claimed invention except for performing an osteotomy.

Orlich teaches using osteotomy to position a plate to promote healing by accelerating osteogenesis because more raw bone surface is in contact at the fracture site (col. 4, lines 8-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized osteotomy, as taught by Orlich, to position the Medoff et al. plate in order to accelerate osteogenesis.

'Art Unit: 3733

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-23 and 26-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR January 8, 2007 Anuada Pamara